Quarterly Service Requests for Reviews of Measures

In 2015/16, a new performance framework was introduced that gave Heads of Service the option to amend their service plans on a quarterly basis in order to ensure they reflect the reality of the service. This would for example include, which measures were still relevant, where they are reported to and any agreed targets. As part of the quarterly reporting, approval for any amendments is sought from the appropriate decision maker e.g. changes to Key Accountable Measures will need to be approved by the Executive.

Quarter 2 request:

KAM:

 Market Street Redevelopment for 17/18: start on site (Milestone 2)

(Ref: SLE2kt2ceo1)

REQUEST from CEO/Special Projects: To change the target from March 2018 to July 2018.

REASON: Dependency on the developer completing a viability process.

2. % of identified communities that have agreed what actions will be undertaken to address locally identified issues

REQUEST from Better Communities Together: To report through to the Executive Committee (currently reported as part of the Council Delivery Plan).

REASON: The performance framework aiming to reflect the work in this area has been aligned with the performance measures used to report at the Health and Well-being Board. Reporting the proposed measure to the Executive will provide a better indication of the outcomes of the community conversations.

Quarter 1 request:

KAM: % of claims for Discretionary Housing Payment, determined within 28 days following receipt of all relevant information

(Ref: CBgD&P15)

REQUEST from Development and Planning: HoS and Service Manager have asked for this KPI to be removed.

REASON: DHP data is collected via a spreadsheet which is less than ideal as it relies on someone updating it. In addition, the Indicator is from the point of receipt of all relevant information but this is difficult to capture on the spreadsheet. What inevitably happens is that the officer collating the stats has to go through numerous months of data to try and update previous month's submissions before looking at the current submission. The data is always out of date, always lags behind by several months and is not robust.

DECISION: Agreed